SAP
E_BW4HE_2601
SAP Certified - SAP BW/4HANA Delta

For More Information – Visit link below:
https://www.examsempire.com/

Product Version

1. **Up to Date products, reliable and verified.**
2. **Questions and Answers in PDF Format.**



Latest Version: 4.1

Topic: 1
Unified Scenario Simulation
Thornbury Cocoa: Foundations of the SAP BW/4HANA Layered Data Flow
Business Context
Company Background
Thornbury Cocoa is a mid-sized confectionery maker in lyon, france, sourcing beans from several regions and selling to grocery and specialty channels across western europe. After years of spreadsheet reporting, leadership has funded a proper data warehouse so planning and finance can work from one agreed set of numbers.
Why a Warehouse Now
Two new export contracts have made monthly reporting far harder to assemble by hand, and reconciling figures between teams now takes days. The analytics team wants a structured platform that loads source data reliably, keeps a trustworthy history, and serves consistent figures to every report rather than a fresh export each time.
The Sources
Data arrives from a procurement system, a sales system, and occasional flat files from a logistics partner. The teams sometimes disagree about whether arriving records should be cleaned immediately or first stored exactly as received, and this ambiguity has made it hard to trace where a number originally came from.
What Good Looks Like
Leadership describes success as one harmonized set of figures that any report can reuse, with the ability to trace any total back to the untouched source rows. They have asked you to guide how the layers are structured, how data is harmonized, how a virtual view is exposed, and how the loads are scheduled so the effort lasts.
Your assignment
You are the SAP BW/4HANA data engineer advising Thornbury Cocoa's analytics team as they stand up their first layered warehouse. For each challenge you weigh the options an engineer faces and recommend the soundest approach for structuring the inbound copy, harmonizing into a reusable core, exposing a virtual layer, and scheduling the loads. You are not building the objects for them; you are reasoning toward the best guidance so the warehouse is traceable, reusable, and dependable
1. CHALLENGE 1 — Structuring the Inbound Layer
• Recommend whether arriving data should first be retained exactly as received.
• Explain why an untouched inbound copy supports tracing and reloads.
• Advise how this layer differs from the harmonized core that follows.
Checkpoints
• The inbound layer is described as retaining data as received, not cleaned in place.
• Traceability back to source rows is grounded in keeping an untouched copy.
• The inbound layer is distinguished from the harmonized data-warehouse layer.
2. CHALLENGE 2 — Harmonizing the Data-Warehouse Core
• Recommend persisting harmonized, integrated data for shared reuse.
• Explain why reusable descriptive master data keeps figures consistent.
• Advise against rebuilding the same logic separately for each report.
Checkpoints
• The core is described as harmonized and reusable, not report-specific.
• Consistency is grounded in shared master data and integrated storage.

Visit us at: https://www.examsempire.com/e-bw4he-2601

• Duplicated per-report logic is identified as a maintainability risk.

Question: 1

CHALLENGE 1 — Structuring the Inbound Layer

The team debates what to do with records the moment they arrive from the procurement system. What do you recommend?

A. Retain the arriving records as received in an inbound layer before any harmonization.
B. Clean, join, and overwrite the records in place immediately so only the final harmonized version is ever stored.
C. Send the records straight into a single finished report and keep nothing else, since the report is the only output that matters.
D. Discard the arriving records once counted, because storing raw history offers no value to the warehouse.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Keeping an untouched inbound copy preserves traceability and gives a reliable point to reload from later.

Question: 2

CHALLENGE 1 — Structuring the Inbound Layer

Why does leadership's request to trace any total back to source depend on the inbound layer?

A. Because the query layer stores its own separate copy of every source row for auditors to read directly.
B. Because it holds the arriving rows unchanged, giving a fixed reference to trace figures back to.
C. Because scheduling the loads in order is what records where each number originally came from.
D. Because restricting who can see the data is what establishes the origin of each reported total.

Answer: B

Explanation:
An unchanged inbound copy is the fixed reference that makes tracing a total back to its origin possible.

Question: 3

CHALLENGE 1 — Structuring the Inbound Layer

How does the inbound layer differ from the harmonized core that follows it?

A. The inbound layer renders the final charts, while the core only stores login and access settings for users.
B. The inbound layer schedules the loads, while the core decides which analysts may open each report.
C. The inbound layer keeps data as received, while the core harmonizes it for consistent reuse.
D. The inbound layer is virtual with no stored data, while the core is only ever a temporary scratch area.

Answer: C

Explanation:
The inbound layer preserves arriving data; the harmonized core cleanses and integrates it for reuse across reports.

Question: 4

CHALLENGE 2 — Harmonizing the Data-Warehouse Core

How should Thornbury persist cleansed, integrated data so every report reuses the same figures?

A. As a fresh cleansing routine written again inside each individual report so every team keeps full control.
B. As an untouched copy of the arriving rows with no integration, left exactly as the inbound layer holds it.
C. As a set of exported spreadsheets emailed to each team so they can each keep their own version.
D. In a harmonized data-warehouse layer that every report consumes from one integrated store.

Answer: D

Explanation:
A shared harmonized layer integrates data once so all reports reuse the same consistent figures.

Question: 5

CHALLENGE 2 — Harmonizing the Data-Warehouse Core

Why does reusable descriptive master data help keep figures consistent across reports?

A. Because one shared definition of product or customer is reused, so every report interprets it the same way.
B. Because each report keeps its own private list of products, which naturally keeps all the reports aligned.
C. Because scheduling the loads more often is what forces the descriptions in each report to match.
D. Because restricting access to the descriptions is what makes separate reports agree on their values.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Shared characteristics give each report one consistent meaning for product or customer across the warehouse.
Topic: 2
Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 6

harrowgate mills in tampere, finland needs a layer that keeps the full arriving history of deliveries exactly as received, before any harmonization, so the team can always trace and reload from an untouched copy.
Which DataStore Object (advanced) usage fits an untouched corporate-memory copy?

A. A restricted key figure, because narrowing a measure by a characteristic is how raw inbound records are preserved in place
B. A staging or corporate-memory oriented DataStore Object that retains inbound data as received
C. An Open ODS View, because a purely virtual object is the correct way to physically retain the full untouched delivery history
D. A BW query, because the reporting definition is what stores and freezes the raw arriving records for later reloads

Answer: B

Explanation:
A staging or corporate-memory DataStore Object (advanced) preserves inbound data as received, giving a traceable copy to reload from.

Question: 7

verano textiles in porto, portugal loads from a source that can supply only changed records after an initial full load, and the team wants the framework that supports this changed-record delivery natively instead of reloading everything each night.
Which capability supports delivering only changed records after the initial load?

A. A calculated key figure, because deriving a new measure from existing ones is how the source restricts the load to changes
B. A semantic group, because grouping records by a key during processing is what limits each run to changed records only
C. Delta processing through the Operational Data Provisioning framework
D. A hierarchy variable, because letting users pick a node at runtime is how the extraction is narrowed to new records

Answer: C

Explanation:

The Operational Data Provisioning framework supports delta processing, delivering only changed records after the initial full load.

Question: 8

lindqvist pharma in malmo, sweden must let a regional analyst see only rows for their own sales region while still using the same query as everyone else, and a consultant is deciding which authorization concept enforces this row-level limit.
Which authorization concept enforces the row-level regional restriction?

A. A data transfer process filter, because a load-time selection is what permanently limits a reporting user to one region
B. A process chain restriction, because sequencing the load steps is how each analyst is confined to their regional data
C. Analysis authorizations
D. Standard authorizations alone, because object access rights by themselves are what restrict a user to their own region's rows

Answer: C

Explanation:
Analysis authorizations enforce data-level security on characteristic values such as region, limiting which rows a user can see.

Question: 9

aldervale dairy in cork, ireland is modeling a new reporting area and a modeler must represent product as a reusable descriptive element that carries texts and attributes and can be shared across several providers rather than redefined each time.
Which InfoObject type represents product as a reusable descriptive element?

A. A process chain, because scheduling is what makes the product element available consistently to every downstream provider
B. A key figure, because every reusable master-data element in the model is stored and maintained as a measurable numeric value
C. A characteristic
D. A data transfer process, since that is the object that would carry the product description through each layer of the flow

Answer: C

Explanation:
A characteristic models a descriptive, reusable element such as product, carrying texts, attributes, and hierarchies for reuse across providers.

Question: 10

castlepoint brewing in ghent, belgium wants one reporting object that combines actuals held in a persistent store with plan figures held elsewhere, joining and unioning them for a single query without physically copying the data together.
Which object combines the two sources for reporting without copying data?

A. An analysis authorization, because controlling who can see each source is what allows the two to be reported together
B. A transformation, because mapping and moving the plan and actual records into one target table is what enables combined reporting
C. A CompositeProvider that unions and joins the underlying providers
D. A data tiering setting, because assigning temperatures to the stores is what merges the actual and plan figures into one query

Answer: C

Explanation:
A CompositeProvider combines sources by union and join for a single reporting view without physically merging the data.

Visit us at: https://www.examsempire.com/e-bw4he-2601

Thank You for Trying Our Product

Special 16 USD Discount Coupon: NSZUBG3X

Email: support@examsempire.com

Check our Customer Testimonials and ratings available on every product page.

Visit our website.

https://examsempire.com/