SAP
C_SIGBT_2601
SAP Certified - Business Transformation Consultant

For More Information – Visit link below:
https://www.examsempire.com/

Product Version

1. **Up to Date products, reliable and verified.**
2. **Questions and Answers in PDF Format.**



https://examsempire.com/

Latest Version: 4.1

Topic: 1
Unified Scenario Simulation
Nimbrix Textiles: Process Foundations for a Growing Mill
Business context
The Company
Nimbrix Textiles is a mid-sized fabric manufacturer in Porto, Portugal, that grew quickly from a single dye house into several plants supplying fashion brands. As headcount rose, the way work actually happened drifted apart between sites. Order handling, dyeing, and shipping each developed local habits, and nobody held a single, agreed picture of how an order moves end to end. Leadership wants a shared, documented view of its processes before it invests in new systems, so the team has chosen SAP Signavio to describe, analyse, and manage how the mill really operates.
Process Elements
A business process is a repeatable set of activities that turns an input into an outcome for a customer, carried out by defined roles using information and systems. At Nimbrix Textiles the core fulfilment flow starts when a brand places an order and ends when finished fabric ships and is invoiced. The team needs to name the activities, the roles that perform them, the events that start and stop the work, and the data and systems involved. Signavio gives them a shared notation so every site describes the same activity in the same way rather than in local shorthand.
Process Types
Not every process serves the customer directly. Core processes create the value a customer pays for, such as receiving an order, dyeing to specification, and dispatching fabric. Support processes enable that work, for example maintenance, procurement, and hiring, while management processes set direction and control, such as planning and performance review. Nimbrix Textiles has been treating all activity as one undifferentiated pile, which hides where the real customer value is created. Sorting work into core, support, and management categories helps the team decide where clarity and improvement effort will matter most first.
Variation Problem
Because each plant learned its own way of working, the same order can be handled three different ways depending on where it lands. Some sites inspect fabric before dyeing, others after, and a few skip a formal check when they are busy. This variation quietly drives rework, missed promise dates, and disputes with brands. Without a documented model, managers argue from memory rather than evidence. A shared process view lets Nimbrix Textiles see where practice diverges, understand the business impact of each divergence, and agree on one intended way of working that every site can follow.
Shared View
A shared process view is a single, agreed description of how work should flow, visible to everyone who touches it. Instead of tribal knowledge trapped in a few experienced heads, the flow lives in a model that new staff can read and that managers can reason about together. Signavio supports this by letting the team model processes once and make them available for the whole organisation to see and discuss. For Nimbrix Textiles, the immediate prize is alignment: getting every plant to recognise, and eventually follow, the same picture of the order to cash journey.
Why Signavio
SAP Signavio is a platform for describing, analysing, managing, and improving business processes across their whole lifecycle. Process Manager lets modellers build diagrams, reuse shared terms from the Dictionary, and organise flows into navigation maps and value chains. The shared Process Collaboration

Visit us at: https://www.examsempire.com/c-sigbt-2601

Hub publishes those models to a wide, read-only audience so colleagues can view and comment. Process Governance adds structured workflows so changes are reviewed and approved rather than made ad hoc. For Nimbrix Textiles these capabilities turn scattered local habits into a managed, transparent, and continuously improvable set of processes.

Your assignment

You are the SAP Signavio process consultant engaged by Nimbrix Textiles to establish process foundations before any system investment. The mill has grown across several plants, each with its own undocumented habits, and leadership wants one trustworthy picture of how orders flow. Across four linked challenges you will help the team map its core fulfilment flow using the correct process elements and notation, frame that work within the BPM lifecycle so modelling leads somewhere, open the Process Collaboration Hub so a wide audience can view and comment, and route changes through Process Governance so improvements are reviewed rather than made ad hoc. Your advice must respect what Signavio genuinely does, keep core, support, and management processes distinct, and steer the client toward a shared, governed, and continuously improved set of processes

1. CHALLENGE 1 — Mapping The Core Fulfilment Flow

- Identify the trigger event that starts the fulfilment flow and the end event that closes it.
- Name each activity as a verb plus object and assign it to a responsible role.
- Distinguish the core fulfilment steps from support activities that merely enable them.
- Capture the information and systems each activity consumes or produces.

Checkpoints

- The model has a clear start event and a clear end event.
- Every activity has exactly one responsible role.
- Core, support, and management steps are not mixed together.

2. CHALLENGE 2 — Framing The Lifecycle Stages

- Explain the stages of the BPM lifecycle in order and how they connect.
- Position the current modelling work within the correct lifecycle stage.
- Describe what monitoring feeds back into the next design iteration.
- Relate process architecture to how models are organised and reused.

Checkpoints

- The lifecycle is described as a repeating loop, not a one-off task.
- Design and analysis are treated as distinct stages.
- Monitoring is linked back to future improvement.

Question: 1

CHALLENGE 1 — Mapping The Core Fulfilment Flow

The Nimbrix Textiles team disagrees on where the core fulfilment flow begins. Which starting point correctly represents the trigger event for an order to cash process?

A. A brand placing a confirmed order, because that request is the event that starts the fulfilment work.
B. The moment dyed fabric is loaded onto a truck, since shipping is the most visible physical step in the plant.
C. The moment the monthly plant performance review meeting is scheduled by site managers each period.
D. The moment a maintenance technician services a dye vat so the equipment is available for production.

Answer: A

Explanation:
The customer order is the trigger event that starts the value-creating flow, matching how a process begins.

Question: 2

CHALLENGE 1 — Mapping The Core Fulfilment Flow

As the team labels steps, one modeller writes an activity simply as dyeing area. How should a process activity be named so the model reads consistently across plants?

A. As a location tag such as dyeing area, so readers immediately know which part of the plant is meant.
B. As a verb plus object such as dye fabric to specification, because an activity describes an action performed on something.
C. As the responsible role such as senior dyer, so everyone can see immediately who is accountable for it.
D. As the system used such as the shop floor terminal, so the model shows which tool supports the step.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Verb-plus-object naming captures the action and its object, the consistent convention for activities.

Question: 3

CHALLENGE 1 — Mapping The Core Fulfilment Flow

Nimbrix Textiles lumps procurement of dye chemicals into its core fulfilment model. How should this step be classified within the process landscape?

A. As a core process, because without dye chemicals no fabric could ever be produced for the customer.
B. As a management process, because buying chemicals sets the direction and budget for how the plant will operate.
C. As a support process, since procuring chemicals enables core dyeing work rather than creating customer value.
D. As an external process, because suppliers sit outside Nimbrix Textiles and therefore own the activity entirely.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Procurement is a classic support process that enables core value creation rather than producing it.

Question: 4

CHALLENGE 2 — Framing The Lifecycle Stages

A Nimbrix Textiles manager says the process work is done now that the first diagram exists. Which statement best corrects this view of Business Process Management?

A. Modelling once is enough, because a well-drawn diagram will stay accurate as long as staff keep referring to it.
B. The diagram should be archived immediately, because keeping it visible would only invite endless unnecessary debate.
C. Each plant should now draw its own separate diagram, because a single shared model cannot reflect local realities.
D. It is a continuous lifecycle: design, analysis, implementation, and monitoring, then back to further improvement.

Answer: D

Explanation:
BPM is an ongoing loop; the model is one stage in a repeating cycle, not a finished artefact.

Question: 5

CHALLENGE 2 — Framing The Lifecycle Stages

The team has drawn how order to cash currently works but has not yet examined its bottlenecks. Which lifecycle stage should come next?

A. Implementation, because the fastest way to prove value is to roll the drawn process out to every plant right away.
B. Analysis, because the drawn model should be examined for bottlenecks and variation before any changes are implemented.
C. Retirement, because once a process is documented its original informal versions can simply be discarded.
D. Monitoring, because the team should start collecting live metrics before understanding the model on paper.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Analysis follows design and precedes implementation, ensuring changes are evidence-based.
Topic: 2
Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 6

Belmoor Dairy is a farmer-owned cooperative in Tartu, Estonia, wrestling with several process complaints at once: late deliveries to retailers, occasional spoilage, slow onboarding of new member farms, and disputes over milk grading. In review meetings, managers argue about which pain to fix first, and each points to whatever frustrates their own team most loudly. Leadership sees only the visible symptoms and has no shared way to compare the problems against one another. With a limited improvement budget this year, they cannot tackle everything and worry about spending effort where it will not matter. The operations lead asks for a defensible way to decide which process problem deserves attention first, rather than reacting to whoever complains hardest. The board wants the choice explained in numbers it can defend to its member farms.
How should the cooperative decide where to focus its process improvement effort?

A. Treat all process variation as pure waste and remove it wholesale, since a single uniform way of working always outperforms alternatives.
B. Address whichever problem generates the loudest internal complaints first, because vocal frustration is the clearest signal of where value leaks.
C. Quantify each problem's business impact in cost, risk, and customer terms, then tackle the variation driving the greatest measurable impact.
D. Standardize every process across the cooperative at the same time, so no single pain is left unaddressed while attention is available.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Linking each process problem to its cost, risk, and customer impact is the foundations approach that ranks work by value, not volume.

Question: 7

Aldyne Ceramics is a mid-sized tableware maker in Faenza, Italy, that tripled its order volume in two years after winning several hospitality contracts. Each of its three regional sales offices now runs order-to-cash its own way: one confirms stock before quoting, another quotes first and checks availability later, and a third improvises per customer. Delivery promises slip, credit checks are sometimes skipped, and finance keeps discovering rework at invoicing time. The operations lead has never documented any of this and cannot say where the delays truly originate. Leadership is tempted to buy new software or redraw the flow from scratch this quarter to look decisive. Before committing budget, they ask you how a business process management effort should actually begin, so that the eventual fix targets the real causes rather than everyone's assumptions.
Which action correctly opens the BPM lifecycle for this situation?

A. Move straight to designing one ideal to-be order-to-cash model, then require every regional office to adopt that target at once.
B. Start with process discovery to capture how order-to-cash truly runs in each office, before any analysis or redesign is attempted.

C. Purchase workflow automation software first, since automating today's handoffs will remove the delays and rework the offices report.
D. Begin monitoring cycle-time metrics immediately, letting the raw data expose the redesign without first documenting the process.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Discovery documents the real as-is variants first, the correct entry point of the BPM lifecycle, preventing a fix aimed at the wrong thing.

Question: 8

Avexis Textiles runs a spinning and weaving mill in Coimbatore, India, and has published its approved processes to the Collaboration Hub for the whole plant to reference. A shop-floor supervisor notices that the documented quality-check process no longer matches reality: an extra inspection step was added on the line months ago and never made it into the model. The supervisor has no modeling access and does not want any, but is worried operators are being trained on an outdated diagram. Raising it verbally in a meeting last week led nowhere, and there is no obvious way to attach the observation to the actual process. The supervisor asks you how such a discrepancy should be captured and routed so the right people evaluate and update it.
What should you recommend so the discrepancy reaches the modelers without granting edit rights?

A. Have the supervisor post a comment on that model in the Collaboration Hub, routing the discrepancy to the process owner to verify and update.
B. Leave the mismatch until the next scheduled process review, when modelers revisit the diagram and can reconcile it with the current reality.
C. Grant the supervisor temporary editing rights in Process Manager so the quality-check step can be corrected the moment it is noticed on the floor.
D. Ask the supervisor to email a screenshot of the outdated step to the IT helpdesk, who will forward it onward to whoever maintains the model.

Answer: A

Explanation:
The Hub's commenting feature is the built-in feedback channel: consumers flag issues that reach owners and modelers, who then edit in Process Manager.

Question: 9

Corvane Logistics is a freight forwarder in Ghent, Belgium, whose process analysts have carefully modeled and approved its core operating processes in Process Manager. The problem is downstream: frontline dispatchers, customs clerks, and warehouse staff constantly ask the analysts for the latest version of a process, and outdated diagrams pasted into emails keep circulating the floor. Nobody outside the small modeling team is sure which document is current, and two clerks recently followed a superseded customs sequence. Leadership wants the wider, non-modeling workforce to be able to

consult the current approved processes at any time, without being able to change them and without pestering the analysts. They ask you for the right way to make approved processes broadly available for reference.
What should you recommend so consumption is easy but the models stay controlled?

A. Publish the approved models to the Process Collaboration Hub, where all staff view, search, and comment on the current version read-only.
B. Let dispatchers open and adjust the models in Process Manager themselves, keeping every diagram current without waiting on the analysts.
C. Export each approved diagram to PDF and email the pack to every team weekly so everyone keeps a copy of the latest process.
D. Issue full Process Manager modeling licenses to every dispatcher and clerk so they can open the approved diagrams directly for themselves.

Answer: A

Explanation:
The Collaboration Hub is the single-source portal that publishes approved models for read-only viewing, search, and feedback by a broad audience.

Question: 10

Dravik Foundry is a metal-casting business in Bilbao, Spain, where several analysts have modeled dozens of processes over two years. The same real-world objects appear everywhere under inconsistent names: a purchase requisition shows up as PR in one diagram, purch req in another, and requisition in a third, and key roles and IT systems are labeled differently by each modeler. Workspace search returns partial results, reports double-count the same object, and nobody can reliably answer where a given system is used across the process landscape. The team wants naming to be consistent going forward and wants each object defined once so it can be reused and traced. They ask you which Process Manager capability addresses this at the root. Leadership wants one durable fix, not another round of cosmetic cleanup that unravels within weeks.
What should you recommend to bring consistency and reuse to shared objects?

A. Define each object once in the Dictionary and reuse those central entries across all models, giving consistent naming and full traceability.
B. Apply Variant Management to reconcile the differing object names, letting it merge the naming variants into one agreed label everywhere.
C. Rebuild the affected processes through QuickModel so the table-driven capture enforces a single consistent name for every object used.
D. Have each analyst manually rename the mismatched labels in every diagram to follow a shared naming style guide across the whole workspace.

Answer: A

Explanation:

The Dictionary stores each object once as a reusable central entry, so every model shares one name and you can trace where it is used.

Visit us at: https://www.examsempire.com/c-sigbt-2601

Thank You for Trying Our Product

Special 16 USD Discount Coupon: NSZUBG3X

Email: support@examsempire.com

Check our Customer Testimonials and ratings available on every product page.

Visit our website.

https://examsempire.com/