

SAP

C_S4TM_2601

**SAP Certified - SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition,
Transportation Management**

For More Information – Visit link below:

<https://www.examsempire.com/>

Product Version

- 1. Up to Date products, reliable and verified.**
- 2. Questions and Answers in PDF Format.**



<https://examsempire.com/>

Visit us at: <https://www.examsempire.com/c-s4tm-2601>

Latest Version: 4.0

Subjects

1. Micro Skill Drill Exam
2. Unified Scenario Exam - US01
3. Unified Scenario Exam - US02
4. Unified Scenario Exam - US03
5. Unified Scenario Exam - US04
6. Unified Scenario Exam - US05
7. Unified Scenario Exam - US06
8. Unified Scenario Exam - US07
9. Unified Scenario Exam - US08
10. Unified Scenario Exam - US09
11. Unified Scenario Exam - US10

Topic: 1

Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 1

A regional industrial cleaning machine distributor is validating SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management execution for shipments released from a warehouse-managed commissioning area'. Freight orders are planned, carriers are assigned, and warehouse users confirm commissioning completion before pickup. Carrier dispatch communication is generated, but the transportation commissioning-complete milestone is not visible for shipments using the new commissioning handoff. The transportation team confirms that freight orders are executable and not blocked. The new handoff was introduced during the private cloud rollout. The constraint is to keep the new commissioning process while removing manual milestone updates before carrier departure. Which action best resolves the missing commissioning-complete milestone?

Response:

- A. Validate the event tracking linkage for the new commissioning handoff so warehouse commissioning completion updates the transportation milestone automatically.
- B. Regenerate carrier dispatch communication because successful output should create the commissioning-complete milestone.
- C. Move affected shipments back to the prior commissioning flow because it already produced visible milestones.
- D. Ask transportation users to update the commissioning-complete milestone manually after every warehouse confirmation.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

This targets the integration point where warehouse commissioning completion should become a transportation milestone. It preserves the new handoff and removes the manual update dependency before carrier departure.

Question: 2

A spare-parts distributor is defining its first SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management release for cross-dock outbound deliveries. The business wants transportation planning, freight order execution, and later freight cost settlement to follow one target process, but the current release must include only flows that are stable enough for user acceptance testing. A legacy dispatch tool will remain active for emergency orders during the transition.

The project sponsor wants to include emergency orders immediately so all outbound transport appears in one dashboard. The transportation consultant warns that the emergency flow has not completed process mapping or master data validation. The constraint is to provide useful transportation visibility without weakening first-release process reliability.

Which scope decision best supports the first release?

Response:

- A. Include emergency orders in SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management and let users correct exceptions during execution.
- B. Limit the first release to mapped cross-dock flows with validated master data, while keeping emergency orders on the transition path for a later wave.
- C. Delay all transportation visibility until emergency orders and cross-dock deliveries can be implemented together.
- D. Configure freight cost settlement first because settlement visibility will confirm whether emergency orders should be included.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This fits the release constraint by activating only transportation flows that are process-mapped and supported by validated master data. It still supports incremental modernization because emergency orders remain part of the planned expansion path rather than being forced into an unstable first wave.

Question: 3

A regional laboratory automation distributor is defining SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management scope for planned parts replenishment and customer-requested deinstallation shipments. The first release must support freight order planning, execution visibility, and later settlement for planned replenishment. Deinstallation shipments still depend on customer shutdown approval, unclear equipment preparation responsibility, and variable carrier acceptance that have not been validated in the target process.

The account support lead wants deinstallations included immediately because they appear in customer transition reporting. The transportation consultant warns that unclear execution ownership could create

planning exceptions and freight cost disputes. The constraint is to deliver a stable first release while keeping deinstallation shipments available for a later controlled transition.

Which blueprinting decision best supports the rollout constraint?

Response:

- A. Activate planned parts replenishment first and define deinstallation shipments as later-wave scope after shutdown approval, preparation ownership, and carrier acceptance are validated.
- B. Include deinstallation shipments immediately so customer transition reporting captures every visible transportation request.
- C. Configure freight settlement for deinstallation shipments first so cost postings determine whether the flow is operationally ready.
- D. Delay planned parts replenishment until deinstallation shipments can use the same transportation and settlement model.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

This keeps the first release focused on a stable replenishment flow that can support freight order planning, execution visibility, and later settlement. It also preserves a controlled transition path for deinstallation shipments after approval, preparation ownership, and carrier acceptance are validated.

Question: 4

A regional industrial sensor distributor is adding depot-to-diagnostics-center movements in SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management while customer outbound delivery remains stable. Diagnostics-transfer requirements from two depots should create freight units for the same diagnostics center. During testing, one depot creates transportation demand correctly, but the second depot's transfers are assigned to a retired service-analysis structure.

The active transportation network contains the diagnostics center and intended carrier lanes. The rollout team finds that the second depot retained a legacy transportation relationship from a phased migration. The constraint is to correct only the intended diagnostics-transfer flow without changing stable outbound delivery behavior or activating unrelated depot movements.

Which action best resolves the assignment issue?

Response:

- A. Correct the second depot's transportation location and relevance assignment so intended diagnostics transfers bind to the active diagnostics-center lanes.
- B. Activate transportation relevance for all depot movements so every service-analysis document can be evaluated by planning.
- C. Rebuild outbound delivery lanes so every depot uses one harmonized transportation location relationship.
- D. Manually redirect affected freight units to the diagnostics center until migration data review is complete.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

This corrects the upstream assignment that determines how diagnostics-transfer requirements enter the transportation network. It binds the second depot to the active diagnostics-center lanes while preserving unrelated outbound delivery behavior.

Question: 5

A catering equipment distributor uses SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management to plan outbound shipments for event venues and routine warehouse replenishment. Automatic planning reduces freight order volume, but event-bound shipments are consolidated with routine replenishment freight orders. The carrier assignment remains valid, yet several event deliveries arrive too late for scheduled installation slots.

Operations wants event timing protected, while logistics wants to keep consolidation savings for non-event shipments. The constraint is to retain automatic planning and subcontracting while ensuring event delivery commitments influence freight order formation before execution.

Which planning decision best supports the constraint?

Response:

- A. Adjust the planning criteria so event delivery commitments restrict consolidation before freight orders are finalized.
- B. Exclude all venue shipments from automatic planning so planners can manage event timing manually.
- C. Increase consolidation priority so the planning run continues to reduce freight order count across all delivery types.
- D. Keep the planning setup unchanged and ask operations to revise installation slots after transportation planning.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

This acts at the planning decision layer before freight orders are created. It preserves automatic planning and subcontracting while ensuring event timing limits consolidation when delivery commitments would otherwise be missed.

Question: 6

A regional calibration services distributor is adding depot-to-certification-center movements in SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management while customer outbound delivery remains stable. Certification-transfer requirements from two depots should create freight units for the same certification center. During testing, one depot creates transportation demand correctly, but the second depot's transfers are assigned to a retired service-routing structure.

The active transportation network contains the certification center and intended carrier lanes. The rollout team finds that the second depot retained a legacy transportation relationship from a phased

migration. The constraint is to correct only the intended certification-transfer flow without changing stable outbound delivery behavior or activating unrelated depot movements.

Which action best resolves the assignment issue?

Response:

- A. Rebuild outbound delivery lanes so every depot uses one harmonized transportation location relationship.
- B. Activate transportation relevance for all depot movements so every service-related document can be evaluated by planning.
- C. Correct the second depot's transportation location and relevance assignment so intended certification transfers bind to the active certification-center lanes.
- D. Manually redirect affected freight units to the certification center until migration data review is complete.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This corrects the upstream assignment that determines how certification-transfer requirements enter the transportation network. It binds the second depot to the active certification-center lanes while preserving unrelated outbound delivery behavior.

Question: 7

A regional commercial optics supplier is adding depot-to-alignment-center movements in SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management while customer outbound delivery remains stable. Alignment-transfer requirements from two depots should create freight units for the same alignment center. During testing, one depot creates transportation demand correctly, but the second depot's transfers are assigned to a retired workshop-routing structure.

The active transportation network contains the alignment center and intended carrier lanes. The rollout team finds that the second depot retained a legacy transportation relationship from a phased migration. The constraint is to correct only the intended alignment-transfer flow without changing stable outbound delivery behavior or activating unrelated depot movements.

Which action best resolves the assignment issue?

Response:

- A. Activate transportation relevance for all depot movements so every workshop-related document can be evaluated by planning.
- B. Rebuild outbound delivery lanes so every depot uses one harmonized transportation location relationship.
- C. Correct the second depot's transportation location and relevance assignment so intended alignment transfers bind to the active alignment-center lanes.
- D. Manually redirect affected freight units to the alignment center until migration data review is complete.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This corrects the upstream assignment that determines how alignment-transfer requirements enter the transportation network. It binds the second depot to the active alignment-center lanes while preserving unrelated outbound delivery behavior.

Question: 8

A regional commercial appliance distributor is validating strategic freight procurement in SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management for delivery lanes requiring after-hours customer receiving. Procurement selects a carrier agreement that includes base freight and an after-hours receiving fee. Freight orders execute correctly, and base freight appears in settlement simulation, but the after-hours fee is not distributed to the originating delivery items used for customer profitability review.

Finance requires accurate delivery-level allocation before postings are released for after-hours lanes. Procurement wants standard delivery agreement testing to continue because those lanes already calculate and distribute charges correctly. The constraint is to validate the selected after-hours agreement without stopping unaffected procurement and settlement testing.

Which action best supports the target process?

Response:

- A. Release after-hours settlement postings because the selected carrier agreement already calculates base freight correctly.
- B. Replace the after-hours agreement with a standard delivery agreement so settlement uses a simpler charge structure.
- C. Stop all carrier agreement testing until after-hours and standard delivery conditions are validated together.
- D. Validate the agreement-based charge calculation and cost distribution setup for the after-hours receiving fee before releasing affected postings.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This addresses the dependency between selected agreement terms, charge calculation, and delivery-level cost distribution. It prevents incorrect postings for after-hours lanes while allowing validated standard delivery agreement testing to continue.

Unified Scenario Exam - US01

Question: 9

CHALLENGE 1 — Delivery Relevance and Freight Unit Preparation

During UAT, outbound deliveries from Plant A and Plant B are released for the same chilled customer route. Plant A deliveries create freight units with expected packaging attributes, while Plant B deliveries remain outside transportation planning until dispatchers manually intervene.

Which action best addresses the dependency before expanding freight order creation?

Response:

- A. Ask dispatchers to manually create freight orders for Plant B deliveries until the first rollout wave is stable.
- B. Validate transportation relevance and freight unit building settings for Plant B before consuming those deliveries in planning.
- C. Extend automatic planning profiles so Plant B deliveries are pulled into freight orders regardless of freight unit status.
- D. Postpone Plant B deliveries from the first-wave scope and continue testing only Plant A until settlement is ready.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

Plant B deliveries are not entering planning consistently, so the first dependency is transportation relevance and freight unit preparation. Correcting that input layer prevents planners from building freight orders on incomplete planning objects.

Question: 10

CHALLENGE 1 — Delivery Relevance and Freight Unit Preparation

Mixed-temperature deliveries are released together for a domestic customer. Chilled items and ambient items are both visible in outbound delivery processing, but only the ambient portion is consistently represented in freight unit grouping for planning.

What is the best interpretation of this observation?

Response:

- A. Charge calculation master data is incomplete for chilled items, so settlement is excluding them from freight unit creation.
- B. Freight unit building or packaging-related attributes are not consistently supporting the mixed-temperature planning requirement.
- C. Warehouse staging is being performed too early, so chilled freight units are unavailable when planning starts.
- D. Carrier business partner data is missing for refrigerated transport, so automatic planning suppresses chilled freight orders.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

The observation appears before freight order planning and settlement, so the likely dependency is freight unit building and the attributes used to group mixed-temperature deliveries. Packaging or handling requirements must be represented correctly for planning objects to form as expected.

Question: 11

CHALLENGE 2 — Automatic Planning Scope for Capacity-Stable Lanes

The project team wants to activate automatic planning for all outbound lanes to reduce dispatcher workload before the seasonal peak. Direct customer lanes have stable carrier capacity and complete lane data, while cross-dock refrigerated lanes still have variable capacity confirmation.

Which rollout approach best reflects the scenario constraints?

Response:

- A. Activate automatic planning across all lanes and allow planners to correct infeasible freight orders after proposal creation.
- B. Restrict automatic planning to stable direct customer lanes and keep constrained cross-dock lanes under controlled planning.
- C. Disable automatic planning entirely until every lane has identical carrier capacity and settlement behavior.
- D. Prioritize cross-dock lanes first because they have the highest operational pressure and need automation most urgently.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

Automatic planning should be expanded where the lane data and capacity assumptions are mature enough to produce executable proposals. This protects planning speed on stable lanes while preserving control over constrained refrigerated cross-dock flows.

Question: 12

CHALLENGE 2 — Automatic Planning Scope for Capacity-Stable Lanes

A planning lead proposes one shared planning profile for both direct customer lanes and cross-dock lanes. The profile produces faster proposals, but some cross-dock freight orders require later carrier changes after refrigerated capacity is confirmed.

What should the consultant recommend?

Response:

- A. Use the shared profile because faster proposal generation is the key success factor for the first rollout wave.
- B. Keep the shared profile but exclude freight settlement from UAT until capacity behavior stabilizes.
- C. Separate the planning scope or profile behavior so mature lanes and constrained lanes are not treated identically.
- D. Require warehouse teams to delay staging until all automatically created freight orders have completed settlement.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

The same planning logic is being applied to flows with different capacity maturity. Separating the planning scope or profile behavior keeps automation aligned with lane readiness and reduces avoidable freight order rework.

Question: 13

CHALLENGE 3 — Warehouse Handover and Freight Order Timing

Warehouse supervisors request dock appointments as soon as deliveries are released, while transport planners want to wait until refrigerated carrier capacity is confirmed. Early appointments improve staging visibility but sometimes cause rework when freight orders change.

Which decision best balances the operational dependency?

Response:

- A. Base warehouse staging on reliable freight order status rather than preliminary planning proposals for capacity-sensitive lanes.
- B. Freeze all freight orders at delivery release so the warehouse can schedule docks before pick waves are created.
- C. Allow warehouse teams to schedule docks independently of transport planning and reconcile changes during execution.
- D. Delay all pick waves until freight settlement documents are created for the related carrier movement.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

For capacity-sensitive lanes, warehouse handover should depend on transport information that is reliable enough to support staging. This balances warehouse predictability with the need to preserve freight order flexibility until carrier capacity is known.

Question: 14

CHALLENGE 3 — Warehouse Handover and Freight Order Timing

During integrated testing, pick waves are released based on preliminary transport proposals. When planners later change freight orders for constrained refrigerated routes, staged pallets must be moved to different docks.

What is the best second-order diagnosis?

Response:

- A. Freight settlement grouping is incorrect because delivery-item costs are being distributed after dock staging.
- B. Warehouse handover is consuming transport proposals before freight order information is stable enough for execution.

- C. Transportation lanes are unnecessary for refrigerated routes because manual staging can replace planning controls.
- D. Carrier master data should be removed from the planning process until warehouse staging is complete.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

The visible rework occurs in the warehouse, but the deeper cause is timing: staging starts from preliminary proposals that later change. The handover point should use freight order information that is reliable enough for execution.

Question: 15

CHALLENGE 4 — Charge Calculation and Settlement Grouping Alignment

Finance reports that freight settlement documents are created, but the cost distribution does not consistently match the delivery-item grouping used by planners when freight orders were executed. Dispatchers suggest fixing the invoices manually after go-live.

Which response best supports rollout readiness?

Response:

- A. Accept manual invoice correction for the first close because transportation execution is already working.
- B. Validate the alignment between freight order structure, charge calculation, settlement relevance, and delivery-item cost distribution.
- C. Stop freight order execution testing and focus only on carrier agreement rate maintenance until all charges calculate.
- D. Create separate settlement documents by plant even when freight orders group deliveries operationally across plants.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

The settlement result must follow the same operational logic used in freight order execution. Validating the full chain from freight order structure through charge calculation and cost distribution protects first-close readiness.

Thank You for Trying Our Product
Special 16 USD Discount Coupon: NSZUBG3X

Email: support@examsempire.com

**Check our Customer Testimonials and ratings
available on every product page.**

Visit our website.

<https://examsempire.com/>