SAP
C_S4TM_2601
SAP Certified - SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition, Transportation Management

For More Information – Visit link below:

https://www.examsempire.com/

Product Version

1. Up to Date products, reliable and verified.
2. Questions and Answers in PDF Format.

Latest Version: 4.0

1. Micro Skill Drill Exam
2.
Unified Scenario Exam

Topic: 1
Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 1

A regional craft beverage equipment wholesaler is testing SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management for outbound shipments that include boxed fittings and tall fermentation tanks. Freight units are created from delivery requirements, and the intended carrier lane is valid. During validation, freight orders combine tanks and boxed fittings into a pickup sequence that conflicts with the warehouse's vertical-staging rule.
The warehouse team confirms that fermentation tanks carry special handling indicators in the source requirement, but freight order formation still treats them like standard cartons. The constraint is to preserve the validated route and carrier assignment while preventing freight orders that cannot be staged safely.
What is the best corrective action?
Response:

A. Increase carrier capacity assumptions so combined freight orders remain executable during carrier pickup.
B. Create a separate regional route for fermentation tanks so route determination separates them from boxed fittings.
C. Correct freight unit and packaging control so special handling indicators influence freight order formation before pickup.
D. Ask planners to manually split fermentation-tank shipments before each carrier pickup.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
This addresses the data and configuration layer where special handling indicators must influence freight unit and freight order behavior. It preserves the validated route and carrier assignment while preventing pickup sequences that violate warehouse staging rules.

Question: 2

A beverage wholesaler is validating SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management for intercompany replenishment from a production plant to regional distribution centers. Transportation lanes are maintained, and the distribution centers exist as transportation locations. During testing, some

replenishment deliveries become transportation-relevant, but deliveries from one plant are excluded even though the route appears active.
The implementation team observes that the plant was recently converted from a legacy distribution point and uses a different organizational assignment than the other plants. The constraint is to correct replenishment transportation relevance only for the intended plant-to-distribution-center flow without activating unrelated internal movements.
Which action best addresses the exclusion?
Response:

A. Activate transportation relevance for all internal movements so replenishment deliveries from every plant are included.
B. Create a duplicate transportation lane for the excluded plant so the delivery can find an alternate route during relevance determination.
C. Correct the relevant organizational and transportation relevance assignment for the affected replenishment flow, then validate it against the existing lane and location setup.
D. Manually create freight units for the excluded deliveries until all converted plant assignments are harmonized.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
This resolves the issue at the determination layer by aligning the converted plant's organizational assignment with the intended transportation relevance setup. It keeps the existing lane structure intact and validates only the plant-to-distribution-center flow that should create transportation demand.

Question: 3

A regional laboratory furniture manufacturer is adding inter-plant component movements to SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management while customer outbound delivery transportation remains stable. Component transfer requirements from two plants should create freight units for the same assembly plant. During testing, one plant creates transportation demand correctly, but the other plant's transfers are assigned to an obsolete internal movement structure.
The active transportation network contains the assembly plant and the intended carrier lanes. The rollout team finds that the second plant retained a legacy location relationship from a migration wave. The constraint is to correct only the intended inter-plant component flow without changing stable customer outbound transportation.
Which action best resolves the assignment issue?
Response:

A. Correct the second plant's transportation location and relevance assignment so intended component transfers bind to the active assembly-plant lanes.
B. Activate transportation relevance for all internal plant movements so every component document can be evaluated by planning.
C. Rebuild customer outbound lanes so all plants use one harmonized transportation location relationship.
D. Manually redirect affected freight units to the assembly plant until migration data is fully reviewed.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
This corrects the upstream assignment that determines how component transfer requirements enter the transportation network. It binds the second plant to the active assembly-plant lanes while preserving unrelated customer outbound behavior.

Question: 4

A regional modular storage distributor is validating strategic freight procurement in SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management for delivery lanes requiring room-of-choice placement. Procurement selects a carrier agreement that includes base freight and a placement service fee. Freight orders execute correctly, and base freight appears in settlement simulation, but the placement fee is not distributed to the originating delivery items used for project profitability review.
Finance requires accurate delivery-level allocation before postings are released for placement-service lanes. Procurement wants standard curbside delivery agreement testing to continue because those lanes already calculate and distribute charges correctly. The constraint is to validate the selected placement-service agreement without stopping unaffected procurement and settlement testing.
Which action best supports the target process?
Response:

A. Release placement-service settlement postings because the selected carrier agreement already calculates base freight correctly.
B. Replace the placement-service agreement with a standard curbside agreement so settlement uses a simpler charge structure.
C. Stop all carrier agreement testing until placement-service and curbside delivery conditions are validated together.
D. Validate the agreement-based charge calculation and cost distribution setup for the placement service fee before releasing affected postings.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:
This addresses the dependency between selected agreement terms, charge calculation, and delivery-level cost distribution. It prevents incorrect postings for placement-service lanes while allowing validated standard delivery testing to continue.

Question: 5

A third-party electronics distributor is blueprinting SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management for a mixed landscape where one business unit will move first and another will remain on its current shipping process for two quarters. The steering team wants a process design that supports freight order visibility

and later charge settlement, but the first rollout must avoid forcing all delivery flows into the new transportation process.
The transportation lead proposes enabling only the lanes and business scenarios needed for the first rollout wave. The operations director prefers a broader design to avoid later redesign. The constraint is to support incremental modernization while keeping the first release executable and controlled.
Which blueprinting decision best fits the stated rollout constraint?
Response:

A. Define the first rollout around the transportation scenarios, lanes, and integration points that must operate now, while documenting the later expansion path.
B. Enable all planned transportation scenarios in the initial design so future business units can adopt the process without additional blueprinting.
C. Keep transportation planning outside SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management until every business unit can move at the same time.
D. Prioritize charge settlement design first and postpone freight order visibility until the second rollout wave.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
This supports the phased modernization requirement by limiting the active process design to scenarios that must execute in the first wave. It also preserves the long-term blueprint because later lanes and integration points are planned without forcing premature adoption.

Question: 6

A regional temporary power equipment distributor is defining SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management scope for planned generator replenishment and emergency site-recovery moves. The first release must support planned freight orders, execution visibility, and later settlement for scheduled replenishment. Emergency recovery moves still depend on site-access confirmation, unclear equipment preparation responsibility, and short-notice carrier acceptance that have not been validated in the target process.
The operations lead wants recovery moves included immediately because they are visible in outage-response reporting. The transportation consultant warns that unclear readiness and carrier acceptance could create planning exceptions and settlement disputes. The constraint is to deliver a stable first release while keeping emergency recovery moves available for controlled later transition.
Which blueprinting decision best supports the rollout constraint?
Response:

A. Include emergency recovery moves immediately so outage-response reporting captures all visible transportation demand.
B. Activate planned generator replenishment first and define emergency recovery moves as later-wave scope after site-access, preparation ownership, and carrier acceptance are validated.
C. Configure freight settlement for emergency recovery moves first so posting results determine whether the flow is ready.

D. Delay planned replenishment activation until emergency recovery moves can use the same transportation and settlement model.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
This keeps the first release focused on a stable replenishment flow that can support freight order planning, execution visibility, and later settlement. It also preserves a controlled transition path for emergency recovery moves after site access, preparation ownership, and carrier acceptance are validated.

Question: 7

A regional commercial flooring distributor is validating strategic freight procurement in SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management for delivery lanes requiring job-site unloading support. Procurement selects a carrier agreement that includes base freight and an unloading-assistance fee. Freight orders execute correctly, and base freight appears in settlement simulation, but the unloading fee is not distributed to the originating delivery items used for project profitability review.
Finance requires accurate delivery-level allocation before postings are released for job-site lanes. Procurement wants standard delivery agreement testing to continue because those lanes already calculate and distribute charges correctly. The constraint is to validate the selected unloading-support agreement without stopping unaffected procurement and settlement testing.
Which action best supports the target process?
Response:

A. Release job-site settlement postings because the selected carrier agreement already calculates base freight correctly.
B. Replace the unloading-support agreement with a standard delivery agreement so settlement uses a simpler charge structure.
C. Validate the agreement-based charge calculation and cost distribution setup for the unloading-assistance fee before releasing affected postings.
D. Stop all carrier agreement testing until unloading-support and standard delivery conditions are validated together.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
This addresses the dependency between selected agreement terms, charge calculation, and delivery-level cost distribution. It prevents incorrect postings for job-site lanes while allowing validated standard delivery agreement testing to continue.

Question: 8

A home improvement retailer is testing SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management for bulky seasonal products in a mixed private cloud and on-premise landscape. Freight units are created from outbound delivery requirements, but several high-volume shipments generate freight orders that exceed the loading plan agreed with the carrier. The route and carrier assignment are correct, and planners can manually split the freight orders before dispatch.
The product team confirms that packaging dimensions were recently updated for the seasonal products, but freight unit creation still reflects the older package grouping behavior. The constraint is to prevent non-executable freight orders without changing the validated route or relying on repeated manual splits.
Which action best resolves the planning defect?
Response:

A. Increase the carrier capacity setting for the affected lane so the existing freight orders remain executable after planning.
B. Correct the freight unit and packaging-related setup so updated package characteristics influence freight order formation before dispatch.
C. Keep the current freight order creation behavior and instruct planners to split oversized freight orders during daily execution.
D. Create a new route for seasonal products so bulky shipments no longer share the validated route with standard products.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
This addresses the configuration and data layer that controls how product packaging information is used before freight orders are built. It preserves the validated route and carrier assignment while preventing freight orders that cannot be loaded according to the intended plan.

Question: 9

A regional hospitality supplies distributor uses SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management to plan shipments to hotel openings and routine replenishment depots. Automatic planning reduces freight order count, but hotel-opening shipments are grouped with routine replenishment freight orders. The carrier assignment remains valid, yet several hotel-opening deliveries arrive after the site readiness window agreed with project operations.
Project operations wants readiness windows protected, while logistics wants to retain consolidation savings for routine depot replenishment. The constraint is to keep automatic planning and carrier subcontracting active while ensuring project readiness commitments influence freight order formation before execution.
Which planning decision best fits the constraint?
Response:

A. Exclude all hotel shipments from automatic planning so project operations can coordinate carrier timing manually.
B. Increase consolidation weighting so the optimizer continues reducing total freight order count across hotel and depot shipments.

C. Keep the current planning setup and ask project operations to adjust site readiness windows after planning completion.
D. Adjust planning criteria so hotel-opening readiness windows restrict consolidation before freight orders are finalized.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:
This acts at the planning decision layer before freight orders are created. It preserves automatic planning and subcontracting while ensuring hotel-opening readiness commitments constrain consolidation behavior.

Question: 10

A regional automotive parts supplier is testing freight settlement in SAP S/4HANA Transportation Management after introducing a new carrier agreement for expedited dealer replenishment. Freight orders are created and executed correctly, and base freight charges calculate as expected. During settlement simulation, the expedited service premium appears on the freight order but is not allocated to the delivery items used for dealer profitability reporting.
Finance needs accurate delivery-level allocation before settlement postings for expedited lanes are released. Logistics wants normal dealer replenishment settlement testing to continue because those lanes already calculate and distribute costs correctly. The constraint is to protect profitability reporting without stopping unaffected settlement validation.
Which action best supports the validation requirement?
Response:

A. Release the expedited settlement postings because the premium is visible at freight order level.
B. Remove the expedited service premium from the agreement and add it later during profitability reporting review.
C. Stop all dealer replenishment settlement testing until expedited and standard lanes are validated together.
D. Correct and validate the cost distribution setup for the expedited premium before releasing settlement postings for the affected lanes.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:
This addresses the allocation layer where the expedited premium must flow from freight cost calculation into delivery-level reporting. It prevents incorrect postings for affected lanes while allowing validated settlement testing to continue elsewhere.
Topic: 2
Unified Scenario Exam

Question: 11

CHALLENGE 1 — Delivery Relevance and Freight Unit Preparation
During UAT, outbound deliveries from Plant A and Plant B are released for the same chilled customer route. Plant A deliveries create freight units with expected packaging attributes, while Plant B deliveries remain outside transportation planning until dispatchers manually intervene.
Which action best addresses the dependency before expanding freight order creation?
Response:

A. Ask dispatchers to manually create freight orders for Plant B deliveries until the first rollout wave is stable.
B. Validate transportation relevance and freight unit building settings for Plant B before consuming those deliveries in planning.
C. Extend automatic planning profiles so Plant B deliveries are pulled into freight orders regardless of freight unit status.
D. Postpone Plant B deliveries from the first-wave scope and continue testing only Plant A until settlement is ready.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
Plant B deliveries are not entering planning consistently, so the first dependency is transportation relevance and freight unit preparation. Correcting that input layer prevents planners from building freight orders on incomplete planning objects.

Question: 12

CHALLENGE 1 — Delivery Relevance and Freight Unit Preparation
Mixed-temperature deliveries are released together for a domestic customer. Chilled items and ambient items are both visible in outbound delivery processing, but only the ambient portion is consistently represented in freight unit grouping for planning.
What is the best interpretation of this observation?
Response:

A. Charge calculation master data is incomplete for chilled items, so settlement is excluding them from freight unit creation.
B. Freight unit building or packaging-related attributes are not consistently supporting the mixed-temperature planning requirement.
C. Warehouse staging is being performed too early, so chilled freight units are unavailable when planning starts.
D. Carrier business partner data is missing for refrigerated transport, so automatic planning suppresses chilled freight orders.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:
The observation appears before freight order planning and settlement, so the likely dependency is freight unit building and the attributes used to group mixed-temperature deliveries. Packaging or handling requirements must be represented correctly for planning objects to form as expected.

Question: 13

CHALLENGE 2 — Automatic Planning Scope for Capacity-Stable Lanes
The project team wants to activate automatic planning for all outbound lanes to reduce dispatcher workload before the seasonal peak. Direct customer lanes have stable carrier capacity and complete lane data, while cross-dock refrigerated lanes still have variable capacity confirmation.
Which rollout approach best reflects the scenario constraints?
Response:

A. Activate automatic planning across all lanes and allow planners to correct infeasible freight orders after proposal creation.
B. Restrict automatic planning to stable direct customer lanes and keep constrained cross-dock lanes under controlled planning.
C. Disable automatic planning entirely until every lane has identical carrier capacity and settlement behavior.
D. Prioritize cross-dock lanes first because they have the highest operational pressure and need automation most urgently.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
Automatic planning should be expanded where the lane data and capacity assumptions are mature enough to produce executable proposals. This protects planning speed on stable lanes while preserving control over constrained refrigerated cross-dock flows.

Question: 14

CHALLENGE 2 — Automatic Planning Scope for Capacity-Stable Lanes
A planning lead proposes one shared planning profile for both direct customer lanes and cross-dock lanes. The profile produces faster proposals, but some cross-dock freight orders require later carrier changes after refrigerated capacity is confirmed.
What should the consultant recommend?
Response:

A. Use the shared profile because faster proposal generation is the key success factor for the first rollout wave.
B. Keep the shared profile but exclude freight settlement from UAT until capacity behavior stabilizes.
C. Separate the planning scope or profile behavior so mature lanes and constrained lanes are not treated identically.

D. Require warehouse teams to delay staging until all automatically created freight orders have completed settlement.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
The same planning logic is being applied to flows with different capacity maturity. Separating the planning scope or profile behavior keeps automation aligned with lane readiness and reduces avoidable freight order rework.

Thank You for Trying Our Product

Special 16 USD Discount Coupon: NSZUBG3X

Email: support@examsempire.com

Check our Customer Testimonials and ratings available on every product page.

Visit our website.

https://examsempire.com/