

SAP

C_TS422_2601

**SAP Certified - SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition,
Production Planning and Manufacturing**

For More Information – Visit link below:

<https://www.examsempire.com/>

Product Version

- 1. Up to Date products, reliable and verified.**
- 2. Questions and Answers in PDF Format.**



<https://examsempire.com/>

Visit us at: <https://www.examsempire.com/c-ts422-2601>

1. Unified Scenario Exam
2. Micro Skill Drill Exam

Topic: 1
Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 1

A aerospace components manufacturer uses SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition during a phased modernization of advanced planning. The planning team runs detailed scheduling for a constrained coating resource, but optimization repeatedly schedules a high-priority order before a required predecessor order in the same product family.

The planning board shows feasible resource capacity, but the sequence violates the dependency that the coating order should follow the predecessor operation. The issue appears only for products added in the latest planning-model update. The business wants future optimization runs to respect the dependency without manually resequencing orders after each run.

Which action best corrects the sequencing dependency issue?

Response:

- A. Increase the priority of the predecessor order so it is more likely to appear before the coating order during optimization.
- B. Manually resequence the two orders on the planning board after each optimization run and save the adjusted plan.
- C. Convert both planned orders into production orders so execution can enforce the correct predecessor relationship.
- D. Correct the scheduling-relevant dependency or product-family planning data used by optimization, then rerun detailed scheduling and validate the sequence.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This targets the advanced planning input that controls whether order dependencies influence optimization. Once the dependency or product-family planning data is corrected, rerunning detailed scheduling can validate that the sequence respects the required relationship.

Question: 2

A compact compressor manufacturer uses SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition to plan in-house impeller assemblies and purchased housings. After MRP, planned orders are created with valid dates and quantities, but capacity evaluation shows load on the correct work center using an outdated efficiency factor that overstates available capacity.

The planner confirms that the routing operation is selected correctly and that the order load is otherwise reasonable. The capacity view appears feasible only because the work center still uses an older efficiency setting retained during a manufacturing data transition. The correction must make

future capacity evaluation reflect realistic capacity without manually reducing available hours after each review.

Which action best resolves the overstated available-capacity result?

Response:

- A. Reduce planned order quantities so the overstated capacity result becomes less likely to affect the weekly schedule.
- B. Manually lower available hours during each capacity review and use the adjusted result for production planning.
- C. Convert the planned orders into production orders so actual confirmation can reveal whether the work center is overloaded.
- D. Validate and correct the work center efficiency or capacity availability data, then refresh orders and rerun capacity evaluation.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This targets the work center data that determines how available capacity is calculated. Once the efficiency or capacity availability data is corrected and orders are refreshed, capacity evaluation can validate load against the intended realistic capacity.

Question: 3

A beverage producer using SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition maintains monthly forecast requirements for a seasonal finished product. After the planning run, the stock/requirements view shows planned independent requirements, but the system still creates supply proposals later than the requested delivery window.

The planner notices that the demand dates are correct, yet the generated proposals do not reflect the expected lead-time offset for procurement and in-house production. The environment includes both private cloud planning and on-premise production execution areas, and the business needs a repeatable correction that improves future planning runs rather than manually changing proposal dates.

Which action best addresses the planning setup issue before the next demand planning cycle?

Response:

- A. Manually reschedule the affected proposals in the stock/requirements view so the current seasonal demand is covered on time.
- B. Increase the planned independent requirement quantity so MRP creates additional supply proposals earlier in the planning horizon.
- C. Validate and correct the material planning parameters that control scheduling and lead-time calculation, then rerun planning and review proposal dates.
- D. Convert the late proposals into production orders immediately so shopfloor execution can adjust the schedule before confirmation.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This targets the planning configuration layer that controls how demand dates are converted into feasible supply proposal dates. Correcting the relevant scheduling and lead-time parameters and rerunning planning allows the stock/requirements result to validate whether timing is now calculated consistently.

Question: 4

A high-precision valve manufacturer uses SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition during a phased modernization of advanced planning. The planning team runs detailed scheduling for a constrained machining resource, but optimization continues to sequence a low-margin order ahead of a premium-service order even though the premium order should follow a higher planning priority. The planning board shows feasible capacity and no date violation, but the resulting sequence conflicts with the intended product-family priority rule. The issue appears only for materials added in the latest planning model update. The business wants future optimization runs to respect the priority rule without manually resequencing orders after each run.

Which action best corrects the priority-based sequencing behavior?

Response:

- A. Manually move the premium-service order earlier on the planning board after each optimization run and save the sequence.
- B. Increase total capacity on the machining resource so both orders can be scheduled earlier in the same planning window.
- C. Convert the premium-service order into a production order so execution priority overrides the planning-board sequence.
- D. Correct the scheduling-relevant priority or product-family planning data used by optimization, then rerun detailed scheduling and validate the sequence.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This targets the scheduling-control data that determines how optimization evaluates order priority within a product family. Once the priority data is corrected and detailed scheduling is rerun, the planning board can validate that sequencing reflects the intended rule.

Question: 5

A precision pump manufacturer uses SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition to plan quarterly demand for service overhaul kits across a mixed private cloud and on-premise manufacturing landscape. After the latest forecast upload, the stock/requirements view shows demand for the correct material, but the planning result creates proposals against a demand period that the planner expected to be inactive. The demand planner confirms that the inactive period belongs to an older planning cycle and should no longer influence current supply creation. The issue appears only for a kit family introduced during a transition from older planning dat

a. The correction must prevent obsolete demand periods from driving future planning results without manually deleting proposals after each run.

Which action best corrects the obsolete demand-period influence during planning?

Response:

A. Increase current-period forecast quantity so the planning result offsets the supply created from the obsolete demand period.

B. Convert the existing proposals into production orders so execution can absorb demand from both current and obsolete periods.

C. Validate and correct the active demand-period or forecast assignment for the material and plant, then rerun planning and review the stock/requirements result.

D. Manually delete proposals created from the obsolete period after each planning run and continue using the current forecast data.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This targets the demand assignment layer that determines which periods are considered during planning. Once the active demand-period or forecast assignment is corrected for the relevant material and plant, the planning run can validate that supply proposals are based only on the intended demand signal.

Question: 6

A laboratory robotics manufacturer uses SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition to plan in-house gripper assemblies and purchased sensor brackets. After MRP, the stock/requirements view shows valid planned orders, but capacity evaluation displays load in the wrong evaluation period because the operation finish dates are calculated later than the planner expects.

The planner confirms that the work center and operation sequence are correct. The issue appears after a recent update to scheduling data for the migrated product family. The correction must make future capacity evaluation place load in the intended period without manually moving orders in each review. Which action best corrects the capacity-period mismatch?

Response:

A. Reduce planned order quantities so the misplaced load has less effect on the capacity view.

B. Convert the planned orders into production orders so execution can confirm the actual completion dates.

C. Manually move the affected orders into the expected period during each capacity review.

D. Validate and correct the routing operation or work center scheduling data that drives date calculation, then refresh orders and rerun capacity evaluation.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This targets the scheduling data that determines how operation dates are calculated and how load is placed in capacity evaluation. Once the operation or work center data is corrected and orders are refreshed, the capacity view can validate the intended period assignment.

Question: 7

A industrial sensor manufacturer uses SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition to plan demand for replacement sensor kits across two production plants. After the planning run, the stock/requirements view shows the correct forecast quantity, but the supply proposal is generated in a period earlier than the business planning calendar requires.

The demand planner confirms that the forecast period and material assignment are correct. The issue appears only for a new kit family introduced during a transition from older planning data, and the proposal timing creates avoidable early inventory. The correction must make future planning runs respect the intended demand timing without manually changing proposal dates after each run.

Which action best corrects the demand timing issue at the planning setup layer?

Response:

- A. Manually move the supply proposal to the intended period and use the adjusted date as the future planning reference.
- B. Increase the forecast quantity in the later period so MRP creates an additional proposal closer to the required date.
- C. Convert the early proposal into a production order so execution can reschedule it according to the plant calendar.
- D. Validate and correct the demand timing or planning calendar assignment for the material and plant, then rerun planning and review the stock/requirements result.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This targets the planning setup that determines how forecast timing is read and converted into supply proposal dates. Once the timing or calendar assignment is corrected, rerunning planning can validate that proposals align with the intended demand period.

Question: 8

A packaging automation manufacturer uses SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition for discrete assembly while selected shopfloor postings remain in an on-premise execution are

a. A new labeling module converts from a planned order into a production order with the correct component list, but one operation uses an outdated control key that does not support the expected confirmation behavior.

The planner confirms that the routing sequence and work center assignment are correct, and the issue appears consistently for new orders of this module. The correction must ensure future production orders inherit the correct operation behavior from master data rather than changing the operation manually before release.

Which action best resolves the recurring operation control issue?

Response:

- A. Correct the routing operation data that controls execution behavior, then recreate or refresh the production order and validate the operation settings.
- B. Increase the work center capacity so the operation can be confirmed even when the outdated control key remains assigned.
- C. Manually overwrite the operation control key in each production order before release and continue with the existing routing.
- D. Change the BOM component allocation so the operation is refreshed during planned order conversion.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

This addresses the routing operation layer that determines which execution behavior is copied into the production order. Once the operation data is corrected and the order structure is regenerated, the system can validate that future orders inherit the intended confirmation-relevant settings.

Question: 9

A precision dispensing equipment manufacturer uses SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition during a phased modernization of advanced planning. The planning team runs detailed scheduling for a constrained nozzle-assembly resource, but optimization repeatedly ignores a recently defined maximum overlap rule between two dependent operations.

The planning board shows feasible capacity dates, but the second operation starts before the allowed overlap limit is reached, creating an execution risk in the on-premise shopfloor area. The issue appears only for materials added in the latest planning-model update. The business wants future optimization runs to respect the overlap rule without manual resequencing.

Which action best corrects the operation-overlap scheduling issue?

Response:

- A. Increase capacity on the nozzle-assembly resource so both dependent operations have more feasible scheduling space.
- B. Correct the scheduling-relevant overlap or operation-dependency planning data used by optimization, then rerun detailed scheduling and validate the sequence.
- C. Convert the affected planned orders into production orders so shopfloor execution can enforce the overlap limit.
- D. Manually delay the second operation after each optimization run and save the adjusted planning-board sequence.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This targets the scheduling-control layer that determines whether optimization respects allowed overlap between dependent operations. Once the relevant planning data is corrected and detailed scheduling is rerun, the planning board can validate that the sequence follows the intended dependency constraint.

Question: 10

A modular HVAC controls manufacturer uses SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition to plan forecast demand for replacement control panels across a mixed private cloud and on-premise manufacturing landscape. After a forecast revision, the stock/requirements view shows both the current forecast and a customer order, but the forecast is not reduced when the customer order is created in the same planning window.

The planner confirms that the customer order quantity and requested date are valid, and the issue appears only for a newly migrated product family. The planning result creates duplicate supply proposals that overload downstream assembly planning. The correction must restore repeatable demand-consumption behavior without manually deleting excess proposals after each planning run. Which action best resolves the forecast consumption mismatch?

Response:

- A. Manually delete the duplicate supply proposals after each planning run so the stock/requirements view matches expected demand.
- B. Increase finished-goods safety stock so duplicate demand signals do not create delivery risk for the product family.
- C. Convert the customer-order-driven proposal into a production order so execution can prioritize confirmed demand.
- D. Validate and correct the material's planning strategy and consumption settings, then rerun planning and review the stock/requirements result.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This targets the planning setup that determines whether customer demand consumes forecast requirements. Once the planning strategy and consumption settings are corrected, rerunning planning can validate that supply proposals reflect the intended demand relationship.

Topic: 2

Unified Scenario Exam

Question: 11

CHALLENGE 1 — Customer Order Linkage for Pump Assembly Planning

During hypercare, a customer-specific pump assembly creates a planned order, but the planner cannot clearly verify whether the supply remains linked to the originating sales order before final assembly. Which validation step best confirms whether the planning behavior supports the intended fulfillment model?

Response:

- A. Compare sales order demand, planning strategy behavior, and planned order assignment for the pump assembly.
- B. Convert the planned order immediately and check customer linkage only after production confirmation.
- C. Change the pump assembly to stock replenishment so all assemblies follow the same planning process.
- D. Increase finished-goods stock for the pump assembly to reduce dependency on customer order visibility.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

The scenario requires customer-specific visibility before final assembly. Comparing sales order demand, planning strategy behavior, and planned order assignment validates whether the make-to-order dependency is preserved.

Question: 12

CHALLENGE 1 — Customer Order Linkage for Pump Assembly Planning

A standard impeller kit replenishes from forecast demand as expected, while a customer-specific pump assembly requires sales order visibility before final assembly. A supervisor asks why both products cannot use the same planning approach.

Which response best reflects the configuration reasoning?

Response:

- A. Different planning strategies can be valid when the business requires different fulfillment models for stock kits and customer-specific assemblies.
- B. Both products should use make-to-stock planning because the same plant produces them.
- C. Customer-specific assemblies should bypass planning strategy validation if customer demand already exists.
- D. Standard kit planning should be converted to make-to-order so all finished products behave consistently.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

The scenario includes two legitimate demand models: stock replenishment for standard kits and customer-order-linked production for assemblies. Planning configuration should support the business model rather than force uniformity.

Question: 13

CHALLENGE 2 — Shared Impeller Blank Demand Across Product Flows

A planner wants to raise safety stock for machined impeller blanks because shortages appear during hypercare. The blanks are consumed by both spare-part kits and customer-specific pump assemblies, but the shortage review does not clearly show combined dependent demand.

Which action should occur before approving the buffer change?

Response:

- A. Validate dependent requirements and shortage messages for the blanks across both spare kit and assembly structures.
- B. Approve the safety stock increase because shortages during hypercare should always be buffered first.
- C. Create a spreadsheet to allocate impeller blanks between service and assembly demand until the next rollout.
- D. Remove assembly demand from the planning run so spare-part replenishment can stabilize first.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

The buffer decision should be based on system-visible demand evidence. Validating dependent requirements and shortage messages across both product flows confirms whether the shortage is caused by real combined demand or incomplete planning visibility.

Question: 14

CHALLENGE 2 — Shared Impeller Blank Demand Across Product Flows

MRP results show supply proposals for impeller blanks, but the planner notices that service kit demand appears in the dependent requirements list while pump assembly demand is missing.

Which conclusion is most appropriate?

Response:

- A. The component assignment or planning relevance for the assembly flow should be reviewed before accepting the supply proposal.
- B. The supply proposal should be accepted because at least one dependent demand source appears correctly.
- C. The missing assembly demand can be ignored because pump assemblies are customer-specific.
- D. The service kit BOM should be copied into the pump assembly structure without further validation.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

The system must reflect all relevant demand sources for a shared component. Missing assembly demand points to a second-order dependency in component assignment or planning relevance.

Thank You for Trying Our Product
Special 16 USD Discount Coupon: NSZUBG3X

Email: support@examsempire.com

**Check our Customer Testimonials and ratings
available on every product page.**

Visit our website.

<https://examsempire.com/>