

SAP

C_ACDET_2601

SAP Certified - Configuring SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite

For More Information – Visit link below:

<https://www.examsempire.com/>

Product Version

- 1. Up to Date products, reliable and verified.**
- 2. Questions and Answers in PDF Format.**



<https://examsempire.com/>

Visit us at: <https://www.examsempire.com/c-acdet-2601>

Latest Version: 4.0

1. Micro Skill Drill Exam
2. Unified Scenario Exam

Topic: 1
Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 1

A logistics provider is using its SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite customer test site to validate sourcing configuration before production migration. During a playback, users report that some event behavior reflects the approved baseline, while other behavior reflects experimental changes requested by one category team. The administrator can quickly reset the test site to the latest working configuration, but that would remove evidence needed to determine which changes caused the inconsistency. The environment is a public cloud, web-based SAP Ariba customer test site. The deployment lead wants validation to continue within the same week, but also requires a controlled decision on which experimental changes should remain eligible for production migration. The measurable constraint is to restore test-site reliability without losing the ability to trace configuration changes. Which action best addresses the test-site management issue?

Response:

- A. Reset the test site immediately to the latest working configuration so validation can resume with a stable setup.
- B. Preserve the current configuration evidence, identify which experimental changes caused the inconsistent behavior, and then restore only the approved baseline plus accepted changes for continued validation.
- C. Move all experimental changes into the production migration package so the team can validate them after go-live with real sourcing activity.
- D. Ask the category team to continue testing only the event types that still match the approved baseline while other behavior is corrected later.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This protects both validation continuity and configuration traceability. It identifies the source of inconsistent behavior before restoring the test site to a controlled configuration state that can support reliable playback and migration decisions.

Question: 2

A regional aerospace tooling supplier is configuring SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite for supplier events involving precision-machined parts. During playback, reviewers find that a required material-certification field is captured in supplier responses but is not available in the internal comparison view

used for evaluation. The administrator can ask reviewers to copy the value into an evaluation comment, but the deployment lead wants the configured field to support both response capture and review. The environment is a public cloud, web-based SAP Ariba configuration site. The sponsor wants playback to continue this week, while the deployment lead requires reusable behavior that remains migration-ready. The measurable constraint is to restore evaluation usability without creating duplicate manual review artifacts outside the configured sourcing process.

Which action best resolves the configuration issue?

Response:

- A. Ask reviewers to copy material-certification values into evaluation comments so the next playback has complete review notes.
- B. Correct the field configuration so the same submitted material-certification data is available during internal comparison.
- C. Remove the material-certification field until all evaluation views are redesigned for every supplier event type.
- D. Create a separate precision-machined-parts setup so reviewers can maintain certification details independently.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This resolves the issue at the configuration and retrieval layer. It ensures one configured field supports supplier response capture and internal comparison, preserving reusable validation and migration readiness.

Question: 3

A regional educational services consortium is validating SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite configuration in a customer test site. During playback, reviewers confirm that the approved event questionnaire behaves correctly in the active pilot event, but a copied reviewer-practice event still contains an obsolete scoring prompt from an earlier workshop. The administrator can remove the prompt immediately, but the deployment lead wants evidence that the prompt is not still attached to a reusable configuration element.

The environment is a public cloud, web-based SAP Ariba customer test site. The sponsor wants the review cycle to continue without delay, while the deployment lead requires proof that obsolete trial content will not enter production migration. The measurable constraint is to restore test-site reliability without losing traceability to the configuration source that introduced the prompt.

Which action best addresses the customer test-site issue?

Response:

- A. Remove the obsolete scoring prompt from the practice event so reviewers can continue playback using the approved questionnaire.
- B. Identify whether the obsolete prompt exists only in the practice event or in a reusable configuration element, correct the controlled source, and revalidate affected event behavior.
- C. Ignore the practice event because the active pilot event already behaves according to the approved questionnaire.

D. Reset all copied practice events to their earliest workshop state so validation restarts from a common configuration point.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This resolves the issue at the configuration-control layer. It preserves traceability, removes obsolete trial content from the correct source, and supports reliable validation before production migration preparation.

Question: 4

A regional laboratory equipment buyer is preparing to migrate SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite configuration from its customer test site to production. The approved migration package includes a new supplier qualification field and the sourcing template where users validated it. During final review, the administrator notices that a related event template used by another sourcing team was not included in the migration package, even though it must use the same qualification field after go-live.

The environment is a public cloud, web-based SAP Ariba test-to-production lifecycle. The sponsor wants the migration window to remain unchanged, while the deployment lead requires production behavior to match validated cross-template usage. The measurable constraint is to preserve the migration date without moving an incomplete configuration dependency into production.

Which action best supports migration integrity under the stated constraint?

Response:

A. Migrate the approved package as planned and let the second sourcing team add the field to its template after go-live.

B. Add the related event template to the migration package only after validating the shared field behavior and updating the migration evidence.

C. Remove the supplier qualification field from the approved template so the migration package contains no cross-template dependency.

D. Delay migration until every sourcing template in the test site is reviewed for possible use of the qualification field.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This addresses the missing dependency at the migration-control layer. It keeps production aligned to validated cross-template behavior while allowing the migration date to remain achievable if the related template is validated and documented before inclusion.

Question: 5

A regional museum exhibit services company is preparing SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite for its first customer test-site playback. The project team has received a reusable baseline configuration for

sourcing events, but the exhibit operations lead asks to suppress several baseline response sections that are not needed for the first pilot event. The administrator can simplify the playback quickly, yet the consultant warns that the same sections may be needed for later service categories.

The environment is a public cloud, web-based SAP Ariba configuration site. The sponsor wants the playback to feel focused for users, while the deployment lead requires the reusable baseline to remain traceable for rollout and migration review. The measurable constraint is to simplify the pilot experience without weakening baseline reuse or losing visibility into approved baseline content.

Which action best supports the baseline preparation objective?

Response:

- A. Suppress all baseline response sections not needed for the pilot so users see only the simplest event structure during playback.
- B. Validate the reusable baseline first, then apply only approved presentation adjustments with documentation for later review.
- C. Create a separate baseline copy for the pilot so suppressed sections do not affect the original configuration package.
- D. Postpone playback until every future service category confirms whether each baseline response section will be required.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This preserves the baseline as the first controlled configuration state while supporting a focused playback experience. Approved presentation adjustments are documented, so later rollout and migration review can distinguish baseline capability from local playback choices.

Question: 6

A clinical laboratory network is configuring SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite for supplier events involving testing equipment services. During playback, the sourcing lead requests a field to capture calibration service commitments, but only for events that include equipment maintenance coverage. One administrator suggests adding the field to all sourcing events to avoid missing data, while another suggests creating separate event setups for each equipment family.

The environment is a public cloud, web-based SAP Ariba configuration site. The sponsor wants the field ready for the next playback, while the deployment lead requires the setup to remain reusable and migration-ready. The measurable constraint is to capture calibration commitments only where relevant without adding unnecessary fields or multiplying configuration variants.

Which action best satisfies the field configuration requirement?

Response:

- A. Add the calibration commitment field to every sourcing event so users can decide when the information is relevant.
- B. Create a separate sourcing setup for each equipment family so every service scenario has its own configuration path.
- C. Configure the calibration commitment field for the relevant maintenance-coverage event context and validate that it appears only where required.

D. Keep calibration commitments outside the sourcing event and ask users to record them in supporting notes during playback.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

This applies the field at the correct reusable configuration layer. It captures calibration commitments only for the relevant event context while keeping unrelated sourcing events clean and preserving migration readiness.

Question: 7

A fashion retail procurement team is configuring SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite for seasonal sourcing events. The sourcing lead requests a field to capture sustainability certification details for supplier submissions, but only for events involving specific product categories. One administrator suggests adding the field to all events to avoid missing certification data during validation, while another suggests creating separate event setups for each category.

The environment is a public cloud, web-based SAP Ariba configuration site. The business sponsor wants the change ready for the next playback, while the deployment lead requires the setup to remain reusable and migration-ready. The measurable constraint is to capture sustainability details only where relevant without adding unnecessary fields or fragmenting the sourcing configuration.

Which action best satisfies the field configuration requirement?

Response:

- A. Configure the sustainability certification field for the relevant product-category event context and validate that it appears only where the requirement applies.
- B. Add the sustainability certification field to all sourcing events so users can decide whether the information is relevant.
- C. Create separate sourcing setups for each product category so every category can maintain its own certification behavior.
- D. Keep the sustainability information outside the sourcing event and ask users to collect it through supporting documents during playback.

Answer: A

Explanation:

Feedback:

This applies the field at the correct reusable configuration layer. It captures sustainability certification details only for the relevant event context while keeping unrelated events clean and preserving migration readiness.

Question: 8

A nonprofit healthcare network is preparing SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite deployment activities for its customer test site. The consultant is responsible for coordinating deployment milestones and

approval evidence, while the administrator applies approved configuration updates. During preparation for the next playback, regional procurement leads begin sending urgent configuration requests directly to both roles, creating duplicate request lists and inconsistent notes about which changes were approved.

The environment is a public cloud, web-based SAP Ariba deployment project. The sponsor wants playback to proceed this week, but the deployment lead requires clear ownership for request intake, approval tracking, and configuration execution. The measurable constraint is to preserve project momentum while ensuring configuration decisions remain traceable for production migration preparation.

Which action best corrects the responsibility issue?

Response:

- A. Allow both roles to continue collecting requests and reconcile the duplicate lists after the playback is completed.
- B. Assign all request decisions to the administrator because the configuration updates are applied inside the SAP Ariba site.
- C. Stop accepting regional requests until production migration begins so the current playback scope remains unchanged.
- D. Define ownership for request intake, approval tracking, and configuration execution before changes are accepted into the playback scope.

Answer: D

Explanation:

Feedback:

This resolves the role overlap before configuration activity proceeds. It keeps playback moving while ensuring request intake, approval evidence, and execution responsibilities remain traceable for later migration readiness.

Question: 9

A regional furniture manufacturing group is preparing SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite for its first customer test-site playback. The project team has received a reusable baseline configuration for sourcing events, but the operations category lead asks to suppress several supplier response sections that are not needed for the first pilot event. The administrator can make the changes quickly, yet the consultant warns that the same sections may be needed for later sourcing categories.

The environment is a public cloud, web-based SAP Ariba configuration site. The sponsor wants the pilot playback to be easy for users to follow, while the deployment lead requires the reusable baseline to remain traceable for later rollout and migration review. The measurable constraint is to simplify the pilot experience without weakening baseline reuse.

Which action best supports the baseline preparation objective?

Response:

- A. Suppress all baseline sections not needed for the pilot event so users see only the simplest configuration during playback.
- B. Validate the reusable baseline first, then apply only approved presentation adjustments with documentation for later review.

- C. Create a separate baseline copy for the pilot event so suppressed sections do not affect the original configuration package.
- D. Postpone playback until every future sourcing category confirms whether each baseline section will be required.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This preserves the baseline as the first controlled configuration state while supporting a simpler playback experience. Approved presentation adjustments are documented, so later rollout and migration review can distinguish baseline capability from local playback choices.

Question: 10

A regional cleanroom services company is preparing to migrate SAP Ariba Strategic Sourcing Suite configuration from its customer test site to production. The approved package includes a supplier qualification field and one validated template. During migration review, the administrator discovers that a second production-bound template uses conditional instructions that depend on the same field, but that template was not tested with the field active.

The environment is a public cloud, web-based SAP Ariba test-to-production lifecycle. The sponsor wants the migration window preserved, while the deployment lead requires production configuration to match validated behavior. The measurable constraint is to avoid moving an unvalidated field-template dependency into production while keeping the known scope manageable.

Which action best supports migration integrity?

Response:

- A. Migrate the approved package and let the second template owner activate the qualification field after go-live.
- B. Validate the second template with the qualification field active, update migration evidence, and include it only if behavior is confirmed.
- C. Remove the qualification field from the validated template so no production-bound template has a field dependency.
- D. Delay migration until every template in the customer test site is checked for possible qualification-field use.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This addresses the dependency at the migration-control layer. It preserves production alignment by validating the related template before inclusion and keeping migration evidence synchronized with the configuration that will move.

Topic: 2

Unified Scenario Exam

Question: 11

CHALLENGE 1 — Supplier Qualification Layout for Service Event Evaluation

During UAT, evaluators find that insurance validity and safety certification data appear in different template areas for turbine maintenance and vegetation management events. Both templates can still be scored, but evaluators use manual notes to compare suppliers consistently.

What is the best configuration-focused action before UAT sign-off?

Response:

- A. Accept both layouts because successful scoring confirms that the templates are production-ready.
- B. Standardize equivalent qualification fields where reuse is expected and document any justified service-specific exception.
- C. Remove qualification fields from the templates and ask evaluators to collect the information after supplier selection.
- D. Create separate production baselines for every country team so each layout can remain unchanged.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

The templates technically work, but the inconsistent placement creates manual reconciliation during supplier evaluation. Standardizing reusable qualification fields while allowing justified exceptions supports consistent review without ignoring local service requirements.

Question: 12

CHALLENGE 1 — Supplier Qualification Layout for Service Event Evaluation

A country procurement user asks to keep a local subcontractor disclosure field in a separate field group because it matches that country's sourcing practice. The central team already has a similar disclosure field in the reusable service template.

Which decision best preserves a governed baseline?

Response:

- A. Keep the local field as-is because country practice should always take priority over central template design.
- B. Replace the central field with the local version because it was validated during UAT.
- C. Compare the local requirement against the central field and use a controlled exception only if the central field cannot support it.
- D. Delete both fields and require subcontractor disclosure only in offline supplier documentation.

Answer: C

Explanation:

Feedback:

The correct decision tests whether the local requirement is genuinely different from the central reusable field. A controlled exception is appropriate only when the baseline field cannot support the local sourcing need.

Question: 13

CHALLENGE 2 — UAT Field Additions for Production Configuration Scope

A safety certification expiry field was added during UAT and helped evaluators identify supplier readiness. The field has no assigned owner, and no one has confirmed whether it will be used outside turbine maintenance events.

What should the administrator recommend for production migration?

Response:

- A. Migrate the field immediately because it improved evaluator decisions during UAT.
- B. Exclude the field from the initial migration package until ownership and reuse criteria are confirmed.
- C. Migrate the field as inactive so it can be enabled later without further review.
- D. Recreate the field directly in production under the procurement director's approval.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

The field may be useful, but it lacks the ownership and reuse evidence needed for controlled production migration. Excluding it from the initial package avoids unsupported configuration while leaving room for later approval.

Question: 14

CHALLENGE 2 — UAT Field Additions for Production Configuration Scope

The service procurement director wants to close UAT quickly and include all field additions that received positive user feedback. The administrator group wants a smaller migration package containing only fields with confirmed ownership.

Which approach best balances UAT progress with production governance?

Response:

- A. Include all positively reviewed fields because UAT feedback is the strongest readiness signal.
- B. Migrate only owned and approved fields, and record the remaining additions as deferred enhancement candidates.
- C. Delay UAT sign-off until every field addition can be approved for every service category.
- D. Allow each country team to migrate its own UAT fields after the central package is moved.

Answer: B

Explanation:

Feedback:

This approach permits UAT closure without turning every positive comment into production configuration. It preserves migration control while keeping useful field ideas visible for later enhancement review.

Thank You for Trying Our Product
Special 16 USD Discount Coupon: NSZUBG3X
Email: support@examsempire.com

**Check our Customer Testimonials and ratings
available on every product page.**

Visit our website.

<https://examsempire.com/>