SAP
C_S4EWM_2601
SAP Certified - SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition, Extended Warehouse Management

For More Information – Visit link below:

https://www.examsempire.com/

Product Version

1. Up to Date products, reliable and verified.
2. Questions and Answers in PDF Format.

Latest Version: 4.0
Subjects

1. Micro Skill Drill Exam
2. Unified Scenario Exam - US01
3. Unified Scenario Exam - US02
4. Unified Scenario Exam - US03
5. Unified Scenario Exam - US04
6. Unified Scenario Exam - US05
7. Unified Scenario Exam - US06
8. Unified Scenario Exam - US07
9. Unified Scenario Exam - US08
10. Unified Scenario Exam - US09
11. Unified Scenario Exam - US10

Topic: 1
Micro Skill Drill Exam

Question: 1

A home appliances distributor is validating a newly introduced warehouse exception path in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management through a web-based quality environment. The relevant customizing was imported successfully, and existing putaway and picking flows remain stable. During testing, users can reach the affected process step, but the expected exception option does not appear for one warehouse activity type, while the same exception setup is visible in a different activity context. The project manager wants the smallest corrective action because the transport window is closed and a reimport would require new approvals. The consultant must identify the missing dependency in the current target environment rather than restart the transport cycle.
Which action should the consultant perform first?
Response:

A. Verify whether the exception configuration is correctly assigned to the specific warehouse activity context where it is missing.
B. Reopen the change window and reimport the full transport so all exception settings are refreshed together.
C. Create a separate warehouse activity type for testing so the exception can be validated outside the existing flow.
D. Reverse the latest customizing import and return the warehouse to the earlier stable behavior before further analysis.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:

The import succeeded and the exception is visible in one activity context, which means the transported content exists in the environment. The selective absence in another context indicates a missing assignment or binding for the specific warehouse activity, not a failed transport. The most targeted next step is to validate context-specific assignment.

Question: 2

A healthcare consumables company is activating a quarantine subarea in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management while transitioning from an older on-premise warehouse template into SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition. The warehouse number, storage types, and bins for the new subarea are available in the web-based environment, and stock postings succeed. During execution validation, however, one monitor branch for the quarantine subarea still groups stock under a legacy node from the former template, and follow-on internal transfer proposals use the same outdated reference.
The rollout team wants the smallest correction because master data assignment to the new subarea has already started and a redesign would delay cutover. The consultant must correct the transition-related structural inconsistency without rebuilding the warehouse layout.
What should the consultant verify first?
Response:

A. Whether the quarantine subarea is assigned only to the intended target warehouse structure and no longer linked to copied legacy references.
B. Whether additional bins should be created in the quarantine subarea so stock grouping recalculates against the new layout.
C. Whether outbound wave settings must be recreated before the quarantine subarea can appear correctly in monitoring.
D. Whether the quarantine subarea should be removed from the first rollout scope until the legacy structure is fully retired.

Answer: A

Explanation:
Feedback:
The environment is partially functional because postings succeed, but one structural branch still inherits legacy references. In a transition scenario, that points to incomplete structure reassignment for the new subarea rather than a process-level issue. The most targeted correction is to verify that the quarantine subarea is linked only to the intended target structure.

Question: 3

A spare-parts importer is moving warehouse operating practices from an older on-premise site into SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition. In SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management, selected products are meant to pass through a quality-review area before final putaway. In the web-based test environment, the first movement task is created and confirmed, and stock appears correctly in the review are
a. No second task is generated afterward, even though the final destination storage type is valid and available.

The project team wants to keep the controlled review step because it is part of the harmonized process during transition. The consultant must correct the migration-related dependency without simplifying the design into direct putaway.
Which action should the consultant take first?
Response:
A. Simplify the final storage type search so the system can create the second task without using the review area.
B. Convert the affected products to direct putaway so the transition can continue with fewer controlled steps.
C. Separate the two steps into different warehouse orders so the second movement can be generated after manual review.
D. Check whether the maintained storage-control settings fully support follow-on determination after confirmation of the first movement step.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:
This is a transition scenario with a deliberate two-step design. The first task works and stock reaches the interim review area, so the missing dependency is the controlled follow-on generation after confirmation. That points directly to storage-control maintenance for the second step.

Question: 4

A precision-tools manufacturer is moving warehouse operations from an older on-premise template into SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition and is validating the target warehouse structure in a web-based environment. The new warehouse number, storage types, and bins were created, and basic inbound and outbound tests can be executed. However, internal stock movements for one operational zone still appear under an activity area naming pattern from the previous site, and monitoring views show mixed references between old and new structural objects.
The project team wants to preserve the rollout timeline and avoid recreating the warehouse from scratch. The consultant must choose the most targeted correction for this transition-related structural inconsistency.
Which action should the consultant perform first?
Response:

A. Rebuild all bins for the affected zone so the new warehouse no longer inherits structural display behavior from the old site.
B. Verify that the execution-relevant structural assignments for the affected zone point fully to the new warehouse objects rather than copied legacy references.
C. Pause all outbound testing until additional wave templates are created specifically for the new private-edition warehouse.
D. Simplify the warehouse design by removing the affected operational zone from the first rollout wave.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
This is a modernization and coexistence scenario. The warehouse exists and transactions can run, but monitoring still reflects legacy structural references for one zone. That indicates incomplete structural reassignment during transition rather than a failure of bins, waves, or the entire warehouse design. The smallest correct action is to validate execution-relevant structure bindings.

Question: 5

A precision fasteners warehouse is testing appointment-sensitive inbound processing in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management through a web-based private-edition environment. Inbound deliveries are distributed correctly, goods receipt posts successfully, and the receipts appear as warehouse-relevant in the monitor. For deliveries assigned to one door-appointment classification, however, the expected first warehouse activity is not proposed after receipt, while deliveries under other classifications continue through inbound execution normally.
During testing, a user can push the affected receipts forward by temporarily replacing the appointment classification with a standard receiving profile, but the warehouse lead rejects that workaround because the same carrier lane arrives multiple times each day. The consultant must preserve the differentiated inbound design and correct the repeatable upstream dependency with the smallest targeted change.
Which action should the consultant perform first?
Response:

A. Increase available bins near the receiving destination area so the affected receipts have more options during task creation.
B. Route the affected receipts through a generic inbound profile so warehouse activities can be proposed without appointment-specific differentiation.
C. Delay goods receipt posting for the affected classification until the full receiving model can be reviewed separately.
D. Verify whether the appointment-classification document context is correctly linked to the warehouse process behavior required for the intended inbound flow.

Answer: D

Explanation:
Feedback:
Goods receipt succeeds and the receipts are warehouse-relevant, so the issue is not document transfer or posting. The failure is selective to one appointment classification, and simplifying that context restores progress. That indicates the real problem is upstream process determination tied to the inbound document context and its linkage to the intended warehouse process behavior.

Question: 6

A laboratory consumables distributor is running mobile replenishment in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management through a web-based mixed landscape. Replenishment orders are created successfully after threshold checks, and supervisors can see open work in the monitor for all storage areas. Operators assigned to the cold-storage replenishment team can log on to handheld devices and

access the replenishment transaction, but they repeatedly receive a message that no eligible work is available.
Operators in ambient storage zones receive work normally from the same replenishment cycle. A temporary reassignment by the shift lead makes the same replenishment work executable for another team without changing the orders themselves. The business wants the smallest possible correction because replenishment timing and order generation are already stable for the rest of the warehouse.
Which step should the consultant take first?
Response:

A. Lower the replenishment thresholds for the cold-storage area so additional work becomes visible to handheld users.
B. Verify whether the cold-storage resources are correctly aligned to the queue and activity area used for those replenishment orders.
C. Split cold-storage replenishment into a separate release cycle so those orders are generated independently from the other areas.
D. Simplify the handheld menu profile so cold-storage users reach the replenishment transaction more directly after logon.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The decisive artifact is that the same replenishment orders become executable when reassigned to another team. That means the orders exist and are valid, but the intended team is not eligible to receive them automatically. This points directly to a mismatch in queue and activity-area alignment between the cold-storage resources and the replenishment work.

Question: 7

A replacement-parts warehouse is standardizing controlled internal movements while transitioning from an older on-premise operating pattern to SAP S/4HANA Cloud Private Edition. In SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management, selected serialized products are intended to move through an intermediate verification area before final putaway. In the web-based test environment, the first warehouse task is created and confirmed, and stock appears in the intermediate area as expected.
No second task is generated afterward, although the final destination storage type remains valid and available. A project member suggests temporarily switching those products to direct putaway so the migration milestone can be met. The consultant must preserve the controlled movement design and fix the transition-related dependency with the smallest targeted correction.
Which action should the consultant take first?
Response:

A. Separate the first and second movements into different warehouse orders so the second task can be reviewed and released manually.
B. Simplify the destination storage type search so the second task can be created without evaluating the intermediate step.
C. Verify whether the maintained storage-control settings fully support follow-on determination after confirmation of the first serialized-product movement.

D. Switch the affected products to direct putaway until the new private-edition process template is stabilized.

Answer: C

Explanation:
Feedback:
This is a controlled two-step movement during a transition scenario. The first task works and stock reaches the intermediate verification area, so initial movement logic is intact. The missing behavior is the follow-on generation after confirmation of the first step, which is governed by maintained storage-control settings.

Question: 8

A home ventilation equipment warehouse is running mobile picking in SAP S/4HANA Extended Warehouse Management through a web-based mixed landscape. Wave release completes successfully, warehouse orders are visible in the monitor, and standard pallet-pick teams receive work normally. Operators assigned to the secure-spares cage can log on to handheld devices and access the picking transaction, but they repeatedly receive a message that no eligible work is available.
Supervisors confirm that warehouse orders for the secure-spares area exist in the same release, and a temporary reassignment by a coordinator makes those same orders executable for a different team without changing the orders themselves. The warehouse manager wants the smallest corrective action because wave timing and order creation are already stable for the rest of the site.
Which action should the consultant take first?
Response:

A. Reduce the number of secure-spares warehouse orders in each wave so the workload becomes easier to distribute automatically.
B. Split secure-spares deliveries into a separate release cycle so those orders are created independently from the rest of the warehouse.
C. Verify whether the secure-spares resources are correctly aligned to the queue and activity area used for
D. Simplify the handheld menu profile so secure-spares users can reach the picking function more directly after logon.

Answer: C

Explanation:
those warehouse orders.
Feedback:
The critical artifact is that the same warehouse orders become executable when reassigned to another team. That means order creation, release, and device access are functioning. The failure is at the eligibility layer between created warehouse work and the intended resources. In EWM, queue and activity-area relevance are the most likely configuration dependencies behind that selective assignment gap.

Unified Scenario Exam - US01

Question: 9

CHALLENGE 1 — Temperature-Zone Putaway Determination During Inbound Receipt
During inbound testing, palletized chilled goods are received successfully and warehouse tasks are created. The receiving team notices that some pallets follow a generic putaway path, while manually corrected tasks reach the intended temperature-controlled area.
Which action best validates the likely configuration dependency before changing execution behavior?
Response:

A. Increase manual task correction authority for receiving supervisors so each chilled receipt can be redirected during testing.
B. Review the receipt-driven determination sequence for warehouse structure, process type, and putaway destination before confirming further tests.
C. Adjust outbound wave criteria first because later picking delays may be caused by the same warehouse activity area setup.
D. Move the affected products into a temporary storage area and defer putaway validation until after the first warehouse go-live.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The scenario shows that goods receipt and task creation are technically working, but the automatically determined destination does not consistently reflect the intended chilled flow. Reviewing the warehouse structure, process type, and putaway determination sequence validates the second-order dependency behind repeatable task behavior.

Question: 10

CHALLENGE 1 — Temperature-Zone Putaway Determination During Inbound Receipt
A consultant compares two possible responses to the chilled-goods putaway observation. One response adds a local exception so receiving users can override the destination more quickly, while the other traces the configuration sequence that assigns the warehouse task destination.
Which response is more appropriate for first-wave readiness?
Response:

A. Add the local override because it improves execution speed and avoids delaying the test cycle.
B. Trace the configuration sequence because repeatable task determination is required before accepting operational correction as normal behavior.
C. Apply both responses immediately because combining manual speed and configuration review removes the need for later validation.
D. Leave the process unchanged because successful goods receipt proves that inbound execution is already complete.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The first-wave readiness decision should confirm repeatable determination before normalizing an override. The observed behavior occurs after goods receipt but before reliable destination execution, so the configuration sequence is the stronger validation focus.

Question: 11

CHALLENGE 2 — Wave Release Timing Across Resource Queues
During outbound readiness testing, increasing wave release frequency makes tasks visible faster but creates uneven pressure on mobile users assigned to specific warehouse areas. Staging lanes also become difficult to coordinate before loading.
Which option best balances performance and controlled execution?
Response:

A. Increase release frequency because faster task visibility is the primary measure of outbound readiness.
B. Restrict release timing until queue assignment and staging readiness are validated against the delivery-window requirement.
C. Move all mobile users into one shared queue so work can be picked up by anyone during the afternoon shift.
D. Delay wave testing until after go-live because delivery-window pressure can only be measured in production.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The scenario shows a performance-weighted decision where faster release improves visibility but creates execution congestion. Validating queue assignment and staging readiness before release acceleration preserves delivery-window performance without weakening controlled execution.

Question: 12

CHALLENGE 2 — Wave Release Timing Across Resource Queues
The warehouse manager wants to release waves earlier to improve picking throughput. The rollout lead wants to confirm that staging lanes and mobile resource queues are aligned before changing the release schedule.
What should the consultant recommend?
Response:

A. Release waves earlier for all orders and document any staging congestion as an operational training item.

B. Confirm staging and queue capacity for the selected wave criteria, then adjust release timing only within the validated execution window.
C. Remove staging checks from the test scope because picking throughput is the main outbound performance measure.
D. Assign additional users to the affected queues without changing wave configuration or staging coordination.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The recommendation uses a bounded execution approach: validate staging and queue capacity, then tune release timing. This supports performance improvement while keeping the configuration and execution behavior reusable.

Question: 13

CHALLENGE 3 — Role-Scoped Validation for Warehouse Execution Testing
A key user cannot display enough detail to explain a warehouse task exception during readiness testing. The partner suggests assigning a broader operational role to avoid test delays, while governance asks for role changes tied to specific validation activities.
Which response best supports reliable test evidence?
Response:

A. Assign the broader role for all remaining tests because readiness validation should prioritize speed.
B. Tie the access adjustment to the exact validation activity and retest before classifying the observation as configuration behavior.
C. Treat the exception as a configuration defect because any missing visibility during testing indicates incorrect warehouse setup.
D. Remove the user from the test cycle and let only administrators perform warehouse execution validation.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The scenario requires separating authorization scope from configuration and process behavior. Activity-specific access adjustment preserves governance while allowing the team to retest with evidence that supports a reliable conclusion.

Question: 14

CHALLENGE 3 — Role-Scoped Validation for Warehouse Execution Testing

The project team debates whether to expand access temporarily for all warehouse testers or approve only targeted role changes. Both options support governance in different ways: one protects schedule governance, and the other protects access governance and evidence quality.
Which prioritization is most appropriate?
Response:

A. Expand access for all testers because schedule governance should override access governance during readiness testing.
B. Approve targeted role changes because access discipline and evidence quality are more important than untraceable test acceleration.
C. Stop warehouse validation until the full role model is redesigned for every later regional site.
D. Allow each tester to request access informally so the project can observe which permissions become necessary.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
This is a governance-vs-governance prioritization: schedule efficiency matters, but the test evidence must remain trustworthy. Targeted role changes preserve access discipline while still supporting the specific validation activities needed for readiness.

Question: 15

CHALLENGE 4 — Reusable Template Control for Regional Rollout Readiness
A local supervisor requests a site-specific configuration change to stabilize the first warehouse before rollout. The change would solve the current observation but has not been assessed for reuse at the other regional warehouses.
What should the consultant do first?
Response:

A. Apply the change to the template immediately because first-site stabilization proves business value.
B. Classify the change as reusable, approved local, or deferred based on template impact before applying it broadly.
C. Reject the change automatically because clean-core discipline does not allow warehouse-specific configuration.
D. Copy the change into the on-premise site first so the hybrid landscape remains consistent.

Answer: B

Explanation:
Feedback:
The scenario requires template control before broader application. Classifying the change protects rollout reuse while still allowing an approved local variation when the operational requirement is valid.

Thank You for Trying Our Product

Special 16 USD Discount Coupon: NSZUBG3X

Email: support@examsempire.com

Check our Customer Testimonials and ratings available on every product page.

Visit our website.

https://examsempire.com/